

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8                   AT SEATTLE

9 TOLL OBUON,

CASE NO. C18-1296RSM

10                   Plaintiff,

MINUTE ORDER

11                   v.

12 JUDGE RICHARD D. EADIE et al.,

13                   Defendants.

14  
15                   The following MINUTE ORDER is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable  
16 Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief United States District Judge:

17                   Plaintiff continues to make filings in this closed matter. Dkts. #89–92.<sup>1</sup> This is despite  
18 the Court informing Plaintiff that “the Court will not consider the recent filings and will not  
19 respond to future filings in this action unless otherwise indicated by further order of this Court.”  
20

21  
22                   <sup>1</sup> These filings are captioned as follows:  
23                   • “No Court Reporter, No Transcript” (Dkt. #89);  
24                   • “Court Refuse to allow defendant’s appear in Court for deposition or to bring destroyed  
25                   Court Records” (Dkt. #90);  
26                   • “Motion to amend pursuant to FRCP 52(b)” (Dkt. #91); and  
27                   • “Motion for Notice and Certificate of Appealability to Ninth Circuit Plaintiff’s request  
for Notice and Certificate of Appealability requires on a brief” (Dkt. #92).

The Court addresses Plaintiff’s motion for a stay pending appeal by separate order.

1 Dkt. #81 at 2. Accordingly, the Court need not address these recent filings. The Court does so  
2 only to note a new basis that adds further support to the Court's prior decision not to review  
3 further filings.

4 On July 3, 2019, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals  
5 subsequently docketed that appeal. Dkts. #86 and #87; *Obuon v. Eadie, et al.*, No. 19-35561 (9th  
6 Cir. 2019). "The filing of a notice of appeal is an event of jurisdictional significance—it confers  
7 jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of its control." *Griggs v.*  
8 *Provident Consumer Discount Co.*, 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982); *See* FED. R. APP. P. 42; FED. R. CIV.  
9 P. 62.1. As Plaintiff's appeal remains docketed and pending, this Court lacks jurisdiction to  
10 consider Plaintiff's continued filings.

12 Accordingly, the Court STRIKES Plaintiff's latest filings (Dkts. #89–#92) and will  
13 continue to not consider or respond to future filings in this action unless otherwise indicated. The  
14 Clerk shall mail a copy of this Minute Order to Plaintiff at his last known address.

16 Dated this 31 day of July, 2019.

17 WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk

18 By: /s/ Paula McNabb  
19 Deputy Clerk